



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_6644
Title of the Manuscript:	Sesame Tolerance to Herbicides Applied Postemergence-Directed
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author should present treatments in the form of table. Ref. style is not according to format of journal. Try to reduce introduction and No. Of refs.	Another table has been created. References have been changed to the format of the journal. Several paragraphs have been eliminated and the discussion now is on weeds and weed control in sesame.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	See the text	Suggested changes made in text with the following exceptions: We say 'postemergence-directed' and the reviewer suggests that we say 'directed postemergence'. I would have to disagree since all weed science pubs refer to this type of herbicide application as postemergence-directed or PDIR"
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		